

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/699,960	11	1/03/2003	Thomas H. Peterson	132838NV (MHM 15082US01)	5072	
23446	7590	12/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD				WILLIAMS,	WILLIAMS, KENNETH C	
500 WEST N SUITE 3400		STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60661				3739	3739	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/699,960	PETERSON, THOMAS H.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kenneth C. Williams	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 No</u>	<u>ovember 2005</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,6,14 and 17 is/are version (s) 12,13,15,16 and 18-20 is/are allowed Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7,10 and 11 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 6/28/04 is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	nt(e)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 11/03/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3739

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Species I in the reply filed on 11/01/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 3,6,14 and 17 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/01/05.

# Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Figure 5 does not show element 134, the second plane (See Specification Paragraph 37, line 8). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3739

4. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

### Specification

- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. Paragraph 03, line 9, "patent" should be changed to read --patient--.
  - b. Paragraph 34, line 10, "receiver 50 is a fixed" should be changed to read --receiver 50 is at a fixed--.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 4,5,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4,5,10 and 11 recite limitations for "an instrument" or "a component".

Neither limitation is claimed in Claim 1; therefore they are considered functional language used to describe the capability of the "attachment mechanism". Further, it is

Art Unit: 3739

unclear whether the subcombination of the attachment mechanism is the invention or if the combination of the instrument, the component, and the attachment mechanism is the invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christian (U.S. Patent No. 5380338).

In regards to Claim 1, Christian discloses an attachment mechanism comprising "legs with first and second ends" (See Figure 3, element 30). Christian meets all of the functional limitations of an attachment mechanism (See column 3, lines 14-21).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3739

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

12. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christian (U.S. Patent No. 5380338) in view of Pauker et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6358199).

In regards to Claim 2, Christian discloses an attachment mechanism (See Claim 1 Rejection). Christian does not disclose "each engagement piece comprises a pair of rollers". Attention is directed to the Pauker et al. reference which in an analogous field of endeavor discloses pairs of rollers used to maintain contact with a tube (See Pauker et al. column 4, line 64 – column 5, line 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Christian to have rollers as taught by Pauker et al. to allow rotation around an axis while in contact with an attachment device.

13. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christian (U.S. Patent No. 5380338) in view of Hutt (U.S. Patent No. 5099577).

In regards to Claim 7, Christian discloses an attachment mechanism (See Claim 1 Rejection). Christian further discloses, "a separation piece joined to said legs at said first end" (See Christian Figure 3, element 50; see also column 3, lines 54-57). Christian does not disclose "a screw that extends through said separation piece and said legs". Attention is directed to the Hutt reference, which in an analogous field of endeavor discloses the use of a screw to open and close two jaw members (See Hutt column 3, lines 13-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Application/Control Number: 10/699,960

Art Unit: 3739

the invention to add the teaching of Hutt to the device of Christian to improve the fit of the attachment jaws around an instrument.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 12,13,15,16 and 18-20 are allowed.
- 15. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth C. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8161. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/699,960

Art Unit: 3739

KCW

Page 7

LINDA C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 3700